



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			•		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/539,859	03/30/2000	PAUL KUPRIONAS	FIS990239US1	8359	
29505 75	590 12/04/2003		EXAMINER		
DELIO & PETERSON, LLC 121 WHITNEY AVENUE			KENDALL,	KENDALL, CHUCK O	
NEW HAVEN,	**:		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
- · - · · - · · ,			2122	9	
			DATE MAILED: 12/04/2003	, /	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_			PRC
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/539,859	KUPRIONAS, PAUL	
5 ,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Chuck O Kendall	2122	·
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addr	ess
THE REPLY FILED 11/03/2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whic	ation. A proper reply h places the applicat	to a ion in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from:	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	g date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION. S R 1.136(a) and the approperation of the fee. The appropriation of the final Control originally set in the final Control	n. See MPEP priate extension priate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR			
2. \square The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application is issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sim	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims	3 .
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	, , 		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	•		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		idered but does NOT	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	to issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b)☐ disapproved by t	he Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449)	·	
10. Other:	Horngurl	eurny hou	ren Ba
	ANTON	Y NGUYEN-BA	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding Applicants argument that Prior art doesn't teach or suggest contacting the client or end user computer to determine its identifier and verify that the end user is listed in the network computer's databased as licensed to run the software or installing the download software. Examiner believes that prior art does disclose this feature. As set forth in the previous rejection of 9/16/2003, Prior art (Corbin) shows in Col.8:5-10, indentifying enduser (vendor indentification) and also Col. 24:30-40, also discloses application program specific license being integrated with the application program for distribution (download) and also in Col.7:35-45 where Corbin shows a table of available servers which Examiner interprets to be the list of end users on the network. McGuire is used in combination with Corbin to teach the limitation of selecting software from the group of programs to be executed, as set forth in claim 1, from the previous rejection recited McGuire, Col.7:47-52.